Educational Services That Transform Lives

## BOCES Assessment Reporting System BARS User Manual



## Purpose

This manual will guide the end user to navigate the BOCES Assessment Reporting System successfully. The components of this manual will demonstrate:

## How to ....

1. Access the BOCES Assessment Reporting System (BARS)
2. Log in
3. Home/Dashboard
4. Reports
5. Interpret reports
6. Utilize the reports
7. Understand the layout of reports
8. Student Data
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## Accessing the BOCES Assessment Reporting System

 (Page 1 of 2)The BOCES Assessment Reporting System (BARS) can be directly accessed by using the following link: https://bars.esboces.org. A login screen appears. Enter your "Username" and "Password".

BARS can also be accessed by navigating to: https://esboces.org and following the directions below:

Select the Programs \& Services tab and then scroll down and over and select Student Data Services.


## Accessing The BOCES Assessment Reporting System (cont'd)

(Page 2 of 2)

The login screen can also be accessed by navigating to https://datacentral.esboces.org and following the directions below:

Select the Secure tab (center top) and then scroll down and select BARS.


This selection will bring you to the Welcome Page and Login Screen.

## Welcome Page and Login Screen

When the Login Screen appears enter your "Username" and "Password" and click "Log In".


If you have forgotten your Password select the "Forgot Password" link and follow the prompts.

## Home Page/Dashboard

After you log in the Home Page/Dashboard screen will display. On this page you have the option of viewing the count of students for a particular Class Roster Year, Exam Year, Exam (NYS/Regents or Benchmarks) and Teacher. These results can be filtered by ELL, SWD, Economically Disadvantaged or Ethnicity.

The user can run either the Frequency Distribution or Rank List for a more granular view of the selections.
On this page the User also has the option to select various tabs for Reports, Student Data, User Administration and Import Files.


## Report Selection Screen

(Page 1 of 6)

The Reports tab on the Home Page/Dashboard will bring you to the Report Selection screen. After all the report criteria have been defined, select the View Report button located at the bottom of the report selection screen.


Depending on your level of access/permission, some or all of the selection options will appear. The level of access is set by the BARS Administrator.

## Report Selection Screen (cont'd)

(Page 2 of 6 )

Filter selections will allow you to focus your reports on specific student populations. The user has the option of choosing a filter. If no filters are chosen the report will default to the ALL STUDENTS group.

- Click the box for specific filter(s)
- Only users given specific permission will be able to view Poverty or Homeless students

NOTE: When choosing multiple filters, the population you are looking at must meet all filter choices


# Report Selection Screen (cont'd) 

(Page 3 of 6)


## Report Selection Screen (cont’d)

(Page 4 of 6)


Mobility:

- Continuously Enrolled in Bldg: Continuously enrolled in a building
- Continuously Enrolled Diff Bldg: Continuously enrolled in the district, but not in the same building
- Not continuously Enrolled: Not continuously enrolled in the district


## Migrant:

- A student is a migrant child if the student is, or the student's parents, spouse, or guardian is a migratory agricultural worker, including a migratory dairy worker or a migratory fisher, and who, in the preceding 36 months, in order to obtain, or accompany such parent, spouse, or guardian in order to obtain, temporary or seasonal employment in agricultural or fishing work: has moved from one school district to another, or resides in a school district of more than 15,0000 square miles and migrates a distance of 20 miles or more to a temporary residence to engage in temporary or seasonal employment in agriculture or fishing.
- All students eligible to be served by programs supported with Title I - Part C funds should have a Certificate of Eligibility signed by a parent or guardian and filed with the Superintendent of schools.


# Report Selection Screen (cont'd) 

(Page 5 of 6)

## Accountability Filters



Continuously Enrolled in Bldg


Continuously Enrolled Diff Bldg.


Not Continuously Enrolled


## Homeless: A homeless student is one who:

- Lacks a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence, including a student who is sharing the housing of other persons due to a loss of housing, economic hardship or similar reason; living in motels, hotels, trailer parks or camping grounds due to the lack of alternative adequate accommodations; abandoned in hospitals; awaiting foster care placement or a migratory child, as defined in subsection 2 of Section 1309 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 , as amended who qualifies as homeless under any of the above provisions; or
- Has a primary nighttime location that is a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations including, but not limited to, shelters operated or approved by the State or local department of social services, and residential programs for runaway and homeless youth established pursuant to article 19 H of the executive law or a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as, a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, public space, abandoned building, substandard housing, bus, train stations, or similar setting. Homeless students do not include children in foster care placement or receiving educational services.

Immigrant: Students who are considered immigrants:

- Students who were born outside the United States and were subsequently adopted by U.S. citizens, even though these students may never need Title III services;
- Students who were born outside the United States to parents who now reside in the United States as resident aliens or are in the United States on work visas;
- Students who were born outside the United States to parents who now reside in the United States as permanent resident aliens; or children adopted from overseas as United States citizens who require extensive new language and cultural skills
- Students who were born on a Unites States military base, were born outside the United States and do not require extensive new language and cultural skills, or are in the United States on a temporary basis to attend school (such as through a foreign exchange educational program) are not immigrants. Students from American Samoa, Guam Northern Marianna Islands, Puerto Rico, US Minor Outlying Islands, and US Virgin Islands are not considered immigrants.


## Report Selection Screen (cont'd)

(Page 6 of 6)


## CDV p-Value Comparison Report

(page 1 of 3)

The Common Data Views p-Value Comparison Report is designed to provide an overview of a population's performance by question. Comparisons can be made between Region and District. This report can be generated by order of difficulty, item-number or standard. The data for this assessment is summarized in a bar graph and then shown as an expanded view of aggregated student performance. Comparisons can be made between Region and District.

The report includes the question number, identifying each as multiple-choice or constructed response, the percent of students who earned full-credit (MC) and the percent of points eared (CR).

The Common Data Views p-Value Comparison Report indicates the percentage of Level 3 and 4 students within the Region (Suffolk County) who achieved proficiency on a NYS assessment. L3+ combines student Performance Levels $3 \& 4$ to illustrate proficiency. Level 4 is the percentage of students who achieved mastery on the specific assessment.

The data for this assessment is summarized in a bar graph into an expanded view of aggregated student performance. Comparisons can be made between Region and District. The report includes the question number, identifying each as Multiple-Choice (MC) or Constructed Response (CR).

Common Data Views p-Value Comparison Report

| Regents Common Core ELA - Jun 2017 | District Name |
| ---: | ---: |
| Sorted By. District <br> Sort Order. Standards |  |

p -Value Comparison: Multiple-Choice


Strand and Question Numbers

## CDV p-Value Comparison Report (cont'd) <br> (page 2 of 3 )

The second bar graph in this report illustrates the District to Region p-Value Gap.
The green bars represent the Positive Gap to Region and the red bars represent the Negative Gap to Region.

Note: This report is available for NYS and Regents exams and displays a Level 5 for New Generation Standards.

## Common Data Views p-Value Comparison Report

## Regents Common Core ELA - Jun 2017

District Name

Sorted By: District Sort Order: Standards


## CDV p-Value Comparison Report (cont'd)

(page 3 of 3 )

The data representation page compares the Gap to ES BOCES and the Region. The Region is equal to Suffolk County.

The Question Number in the Item Type column is a hyperlink to Engage NY for released questions.
This report is available for both NYS and Regents exams and displays a Level 5 for New Generation Standards.

Hyperlink to Engage NY for released questions and question type

Common Data Views p-Value Comparison Report


## Constructed Response Distribution of Points Awarded

(page 1 of 2)

The Constructed Response Distribution of Points Awarded Report is designed to provide a more complete picture of a population's performance on constructed response questions. This report shows the number and percent of students who received full or partial-credit on each question. It shows the percentage of students who received full credit on the question and the percentage of points earned (the total points awarded divided by the total number of points possible).

Determined by the sequence chosen, comparisons can be made between Room, School, District, BOCES and Region. Additional parameters are available on the Report Selection Screen which can highlight a population's performance compared to other groups.

Constructed Response Distribution of Points Awarded


## Constructed Response Distribution of Points Awarded (cont'd)

(page 2 of 2)
Question Number: identifies the question number and type pertaining to a particular standard
\% Points Earned: percentage of points a group earned, the total points the group earned divided by the total possible points the group could have earned for a specific question \% Full Credit: percentage of students who earned the maximum possible points for a specific question

District: a population of students who have taken an exam district wide
Region: the population of students who took an exam in Suffolk County
Eastern Suffolk BOCES (ESB)/Western Suffolk BOCES(WSB): the population of students who took an exam $\mathrm{N}=$ number of students

## Constructed Response Distribution of Points Awarded



Indicates the number of students who earned 0-5 points and the number of students who did not respond on a specific question

Gap: the difference between the smallest population and other larger populations

Distribution of Points Earned (\#): based on the number of points possible, this represents the number of students who earned full and partial credit
No Response: Indicates the number of students who did not respond to the question

## Individual Student Performance Report

The Individual Student Performance Report, formerly known as the Skills Enhancement Report, is designed to provide a more complete picture of an individual student's performance on both multiplechoice and constructed response questions. The report shows the strengths and areas of opportunities; organized by strand then grouped by standard. Comparisons can be made between District, BOCES, and Region. This report can be generated by Individual Questions or Grouped by Standard - Summary.

Questions: the item number
Incorrectly Answered: the question/item number question on the assessment that the student was incorrect


Number of Questions: number of questions in that standard

Individual Student Performance Report

| Grade 8 English Language Arts March 2017 <br> Course/Section $1820-60$ |
| :--- |
| Teacher - N/A |

District \% Correct: the district's \% of questions correct for that particular standard ESB \% Correct: Eastern Suffolk BOCES \% of questions correct for that particular standard Region \% Correct: Suffolk County's \% of questions correct for that particular standard

Student \# Correct: shows the student's amount of questions that were correct for that standard Student \% Correct: shows the student's percent of the questions that were correct for the standard

## Performance Report with Gap Analysis

(page 1 of 4)

The Performance Report with Gap Analysis report is designed to provide an overview of a population's performance by question. Determined by the sequence chosen, comparisons can be made between Room, School, District, BOCES, and Region. This report can be generated by region or level cut points to make comparisons between different populations in the chosen sequence. The data for this assessment is summarized as a line graph leading into an expanded view of aggregated student performance.

It shows the number of students and percent of students that earned a specific amount of points.
This report has a link to the performance indicator for each item number and a link from there that takes you to the Constructed Response question.

Performance Report with Gap Analysis
Grade 8 English Language Arts March 2017


## Performance Report with Gap Analysis (cont'd)

(page 2 of 4 )

The Performance Report with Gap Analysis encompasses: the question number, identifying each as multiple-choice or constructed response, the percent of points earned and the percent of students who earned full credit. This report can be organized by strand then standard; question number or in order of difficulty. Additional parameters can be selected in the report to show how a population's performance compares to that of other groups.

n : the total number of students in a specific population who took the exam

School: a population of students who took an exam in a specific building
Performance Report with Gap Analysis


Strand/Standard/Domain: determined by SED, this shows which standards the question was testing
Region: the population of students who took an exam in Suffolk County

## Performance Report with Gap Analysis (cont'd)

(page 3 of 4)

Question Number Link: Item detail can be viewed by clicking on the question number
Strand/Standard/Domain Link: Longitudinal question detail for the Standards can be displayed in a drilldown report by clicking on the abbreviated standard. The drilldown will describe the standard description and related question numbers by year.

Performance Report with Gap Analysis


Production and Distribution of Writing
5 Demonstrate understanding of figurative language, word relationships, and nuances in word meanings.

| $13-\mathrm{MC}$ | L | 1 | $54 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $-5 \%$ |  |  | $26 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4 - M C}$ | L | 1 | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |  | $4 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Craft Structure
4 Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including fileurative, connotative, and technical meanings; analyze how an author uses and refines the meaning of a key term or terms over the course of a text (e.g., how Madison

\% Points Earned: percentage of points a group earned.: the total points the group earned divided by the total possible points the group could have earned for a specific question
\% Full-Credit: percentage of students who earned the maximum possible points for a specific question

Max Points: the maximum number of possible points that could be scored on the item

Gap: the difference between the smallest population and other larger populations.


Distractor By (the smallest population in a sequence): The percent of how often an answer choice was selected, highlighting the correct answer. NOTE: For districts with few than 7 students the distractor analysis will not populate.

## Performance Report with Gap Analysis (cont'd)

(page 4 of 4)

Question Number Link: Item detail can be viewed by clicking on the question number
Strand/Standard/Domain Link: Longitudinal question detail for the Standards can be displayed in a drilldown report by clicking on the abbreviated standard. The drilldown will describe the standard description and related question numbers by year.

## Performance Report with Gap Analysis

Regents Common Core ELA - Jun 2022


Production and Distribution of Writing
4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknoum and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on grades 11-12 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of strategies


Standard Link: Standard detail can be viewed by clicking on the standard description in the drill down report
Question Number Link: Item detail can be viewed by clicking on the question number in the drill down report

## District Name

## Regents Common Core ELA - Jun 2022

## High School

Standard range of strategies.

|  | See questions related to this standard by clicking on the Question Number links below: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year | 2021-22 |  |  |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Regents Common Core ELA - Jun }}$ | 3 | 54.76\% | 54.79\% |
|  | 12 | 2 | 88.10\% | 88.36\% |
| School Year 2020-21 |  |  |  |  |
| Regents Common Core ELA - Jun |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06 | 2 | 75.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 13 | 3 | 50.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 20 | 1 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |

## Released Question Performance Report

(page 1 of 2)

The Released Question Performance Report is designed to provide a more complete picture of a population's performance on the released questions from the NYS assessment.

Determined by the sequence chosen, comparisons can be made between Room, School, District, BOCES, and Region. The data for this assessment is summarized as a collective list of data by question, in the order they appeared on the exam leading into an expanded version of aggregated student performance.

The report encompasses: the question number, NYSED annotated guide page number, percent correct, and the distribution of responses. It separates multiple-choice from constructed response. This report is organized by strand or domain then standard. Additional parameters can be selected.


# Released Question Performance Report (cont'd) 

(page 2 of 2)


## Frequency Distribution

The Frequency Distribution report shows the number and percent of students who scored at each level of Proficiency as well as the ranges with each of the levels.

> Scaled Score - is the total number of correct points (raw score) on the assessment

Hyperlink - to individual students within that level (Rank List Report)


## Group Item Analysis

(page 1 of 2)

The Group Item Analysis report shows the count and percent of students that choose each answer grouped by performance level. Each of the item number bars on the graph will show the exact percent of students who answered the question correctly.

\section*{| Item: identifies the <br> question number <br> Group Item Analysis |
| :--- |}


| Correct Answer: the correct <br> choice for the question | Performance Level: students <br> grouped by performance <br> level |
| :--- | :--- |




Percent Correct: the percentage of participated students who answered correctly for that specific question

Count: number of students who selected each answer Percent: the percent of students who selected each answer

No Rep/Multiple Resp: indicates the number/percent of students who did not respond to the question or selected multiple answers

## Group Item Analysis (cont'd)

 (page 2 of 2)The Group Item Analysis also provides a graph displaying each of the item numbers as bars showing the exact percent of students who answered the question correctly.

## Group Item Analysis

Grade 3 English Language Arts April 2023 District Name

Sorted By: District

Percent Correct - Multiple Choice Items
 students who answered correctly for that specific question

## Individual Item Analysis

## The Individual Item Analysis Report shows a list of students and their responses to all NYS Exams.

## Individual Item Analysis

Grade 3 English Language Arts April 2023



Raw - the total number of correct points (raw score) on the assessment

The Key describes what each particular response represents

## Rank List

The Student Rank List is a report that displays a list of students in a particular class with their performance level and score on a particular NYS Exam. This report is available for both 3-8 state exams and NYS Regents Exams.

## Student Ranking List

Grade 7 English Language Arts April 2023
Middle School
Sorted By: School

| 4 | 496 Bergovoy, Catalina | 4 | 472 Healion, Julice | 3 | 461 Adamo, Nerissa | 3 | 458 Felsman, Ubaka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 492 Cruceru, Jaye | 4 | 472 Heflich, Coryn | 3 | 461 Baghdadi, Jaelyn | 3 | 458 Gang, Flora |
| 4 | 489 Dearie, Odell | 4 | 472 Kiriyanthan, Hye | 3 | 461 Barresi, Arahja | 3 | 458 Hass, Kermit |
| 4 | 489 Malczewski, Dane | 4 | 472 Laing, Cedric | 3 | 461 Bottiglieri, Devontay | 3 | 458 Kessler, Kelci |
| 4 | 485 Cullen, Jorge | 3 | 468 Bauner, Angelo | 3 | 461 Bunnell, Jacquelyn | 3 | 458 Landress, Laurice |
| 4 | 485 Krajewski, Jahnaysia | 3 | 468 Eisenbraun, Leydis | 3 | 461 Cristodero, Pierce | 3 | 455 Corsello, Jolien |
| 4 | 485 Liff, Marcian | 3 | 468 Eletto, Pavandeep | 3 | 461 Deus, Pok | 3 | 455 Corwin, Jaquann |
| 4 | 482 Boltrek, Fatbardha | 3 | 468 Ely, Mary | 3 | 461 Egerton, Morrice | 3 | 455 Cross, Praveen |
| 4 | 482 Buner, Meena | 3 | 468 Federico, Esme | 3 | 461 Eiss, Rahmel | 3 | 455 Devila, Nico |
| 4 | 482 Cintorino, Javier | 3 | 468 Harkins, Shurvell | 3 | 461 Fiorenza, Felipe | 3 | 455 Ehrlich, Winston |
| 4 | 482 Curran, Lyric | 3 | 468 Iamnacone, Jonti | 3 | 461 Flamiano, Branli | 3 | 455 Fry , Tavian |
| 4 | 482 Irfan, Na'Khari | 3 | 468 Kapelyan, Marina | 3 | 461 Foda, Keyana | 3 | 455 Garry, Juliana |
| 4 | 477 Broverman, Marleen | 3 | 468 Marchesano, Lexi | 3 | 461 Gong, Demie | 3 | 453 Chave, Vic |
| 4 | 477 Gesualdo, Martine | 3 | 464 Attendance, Eleonor | 3 | 461 Gutierrez, Warwick | 3 | 453 Kiga, Karla |
| 4 | 477 Jaeger, Kathrie | 3 | 464 Crispino, Abrial | 3 | 461 Langrock, Marquez | 3 | 453 Kristol, Awilda |
| 4 | 477 Layne, Meagan | 3 | 464 Cuevas, Adrianna | 3 | 461 Lapp, Yandry | 3 | 453 Kunzinger, Rodolfo |
| 4 | 477 Malhotra, Allee | 3 | 464 Daidola, Rasheem | 3 | 461 Margolin, Vachel | 3 | 450 Arasi, Elwood |
| 4 | 477 Masters, Catheliya | 3 | 464 Eldor, Matthew | 3 | 458 Aloni, Margorie | 3 | 450 Chitty, Jennesa |
| 4 | 472 Avella, Justice | 3 | 464 Gursky, Bhavesh | 3 | 458 Bartunek, Catherine | 3 | 450 DeHayes, Javyn |
| 4 | 472 Bendfeldt, Alivia | 3 | 464 Hendrickson, Heidi | 3 | 458 Blakely, Kieth | 3 | $450 \mathrm{Hollingsworth}$, |
| 4 | 472 Chandran, Kirby | 3 | 464 Krupp, Sonny | 3 | 458 Boecker, Aaman | 3 | 450 Izzillo, Aaliyah |
| 4 | 472 Claudio, Kiana | 3 | 464 Lydon, Celine | 3 | 458 Chilicki, Keiasha | 3 | 450 Klabenesh, Jayde |
| 4 | 472 Conley, Michel | 3 | 464 Marion Glavanna | 3 | 458 Cleere, Mikayla | 2 | 448 Beaulieu, Eaunice |

Level 1 366-432, Level 2433-449, Level 3450-471, Level 4 472-503

Performance Level - levels 1 through 4 (or 1-5) for Common Core Regents exams) on the scaled score

Score - the total number of correct points (raw score on the assessment

## Student Profile

The Student Profile Report shows each student and every NYS Exam that he/she has ever taken in his/her academic career. The Profile displays the current grade score, level, Not Tested and Year/Month in which the test was taken.


## Student Data

(page 1 of 4)

The Student Data tab provides a full longitudinal picture of each student that includes assessments, scores, levels, administration dates, counts of absences, tardies and suspensions.

The Retrieve Student Data selection displays detailed assessment and attendance data for each student. A Student Profile report can be selected in addition to the ability to enter and save student notes for future reference and collaboration.

The Student Data page also allows the user to create and save specific groups of students along with the ability to run a suite of reports for comparisons for just those groupings.


## Student Data (cont'd)



Page 33

## Student Data (cont'd)

(page 3 of 4)


Select Add to create a group of students for selection or Edit/Delete to modify or remove existing groups

Select Student(s) you would like to
Create a Group Code and Description for the group you include in the group and click on the right arrow to move the group to the Students Selected box
are creating



Student Data (cont'd)
(page 4 of 4)


Performance Report with Gap Analysis
Grade 3 English Language Arts April 2023
District Name

Sorted By: Group Sort Order: Standards

|  |  |  | Group$n=2$ |  | District$n=195$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ESB } \\ n=7.022 \end{gathered}$ |  | Region$n=10.860$ |  | A/F B/G C/H D/J Other <br> Distractor by Group <br> (Eold denotes correct answer for released questions onity) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question Number | Strand | Max Points | \% Points Earned | \% Full Credit | \% Full Credit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { District } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Full } \\ \text { Credit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gap } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { ESB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Full } \\ \text { Credit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gap } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { Region } \end{gathered}$ |  |

Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
NY-3.L. 4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases, choosing flexibly from a range of strategies, including, but not limited to:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 07-\mathrm{MC} & \mathrm{~L} & 1 & 50 \% & 50 \% & 90 \% & -40 \% & 83 \% & -33 \% & 85 \% & -35 \% \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Student Profile

| 2022 / 2023 |  |  | District Name <br> Sorted by. District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Granger, Aleysa |  | Current Grade: 03 |  |  | 910031677 |
| Score | Score | Level | Not Tested | YearMMonth |  |
| Grade 3 Enslish Lansuage Arts | 420 | 1 |  | 2023/04 |  |
| Grade 3 English Language Arts | 575 | 1 |  | 2022.03 |  |

## Group Item Analysis

| Grade 3 English Language Arts April 2023 | District Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sorted By: District |  |

Percent Correct - Multiple Choice Items


Item Number
District Total Tested 195

Group Item Analysis

| Grade 3 English Language Arts April 2023 |  |  |  |  |  | District Name |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

District Total Tested 195

## Individual Item Analysis

| Grade 3 English Language Arts April 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | District Name <br> Sorted by: District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Item | Nu | umbe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student's Name | Student ID | Raw | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Admin, Naly | 920032721 | 15 | X | . | . | . | X | . | . | . | x | . | X | . | . | X | . | . | X | . | X | X | . | . | . |  |
| Aller, Elizabeth A. | 920032553 | 15 | . | X | x | x | . | . | . | x | . | . | . | . | . | X | x | x | . | . | . | X | . | . | . |  |
| Allopenna, Guillaume | 920032066 | 22 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | x | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| Altmam, Urian | 920032614 | 17 | . | . | X | . | . | . | . | . | X | . | . | . | X | . | . | X | . | . | X | X | . | . | . |  |
| Angelotte, Marion | 920032230 | 16 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | X | . | X | . | . | X | . | . | X | X | . | X | . | . | . | X |  |

Individual Student Performance Report

| Grade 3 English Language Arts April 2023 |  |  |  | Dist | ct Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Admin, Naly <br> Course/Section - N/A Student ID -920032721 <br> Teacher - N/A Scale Score - 442 <br>  Performance Level -2 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sor } \\ \text { Report By: } \mathrm{Qu} \end{array}$ | by: District ion - Detail |
| Mulitple Choice Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Question | Student Points Earned | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { \% \% } \\ \text { Correct } \\ \mathrm{n}=0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ESB } \\ \% \\ \text { Correct } \\ \mathrm{n}=7,022 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Language |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Vocabulary Acquisition and Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| NY-3.L. 4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases, choosing flexibly from a | strategies, | ding, but |  |  |  |
|  | 07-MC | 1 | 0\% | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Reading Informational Text |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Craft and Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| NY-3.RI. 5 In informational texts, identify and use text features to build comprehension. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12-MC | 1 | 0\% | $62 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Integration of Knowledge and Ideas |  |  |  |  |  |
| NY-3.RI. 7 Explain how specific illustrations or text features contribute to what is conveyed by the words in a text (e.g., cre key events occur). | emphasiz | acter or | ermine w | e, when, wh | d how |
|  | 10-MC | 1 | 0\% | $66 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Key Ideas and Details |  |  |  |  |  |
| NY-3.RI. 2 Determine a theme or central idea and explain how it is supported by key details; summarize portions of a text. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11-MC | 0 | 0\% | $62 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| NY-3.RI. 3 In informational texts, describe the relationship among a series of events, ideas, concepts, or steps in a text, using | e that pert | o time, seg | cause effe |  |  |
|  | 08-MC | 1 | 0\% | $54 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
|  | 09-MC | 0 | 0\% | $67 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Reading Fiterature |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Craft and Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| NY-3.RL. 4 Determine the meaning of words, phrases, figurative language, and academic and content-specific words. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 03-MC | 1 | 0\% | $72 \%$ | 75\% |
|  | 22-MC | 1 | 0\% | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ |

$\qquad$

## Score Projection

(page 1 of 2)

The Score Projection/Regional Correlation Analysis reports present baseline and performance projections for upcoming Regents exams based upon historical patterns. These projections can be displayed by District, School and Teacher counts and percentages and summarized for the Low, Mid and High ranges. Both data and graphs are presented comparing Districts against Participating District Totals.


| Common Core ELA Regents 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | District Name <br> High School Sortad by- Schaol $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher $\mathrm{Nams}^{\text {max }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total: } \\ & \text { Studentr } \end{aligned}$ | \#Students Not Meeting"Low" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# Students Met } \\ & \text { "Low" } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{26 \text { Studens Mes }}{\text { "Lan" }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#Students Met } \\ \text { "Mid" } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26 Sudent: Mes } \\ & \text { "Mid" } \end{aligned}$ | \#Students.Met "High" | $\frac{26 \text { Sudens Met }}{\text { "High" }}$ |
| Emeak Bimi | 。 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | 0.006 |
| 5 Semen Sula | - | - | 0 | 000\% | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | - | 0.005 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |
| Gatase casal | 11 | 2 | 9 | 81.52\% | 5 | 45.45\% | 4 | 36.36\% |
| Canalame | , | , | 3 | 60.00\% | , | 40.00\% | 2 | 40.00\% |
| Cater Comm | 8 | 1 | 7 | $87.50 \%$ | 7 | 8750\% | 5 | $62.50 \%$ |



## Score Projection (cont'd) <br> Projected Performance Report <br> (page 2 of 2)



Projected Range - projected low, mid and high scores based on that particular prior assessment

Actual Best Score - best score received on either the Jan or June exam

Actual Score - score eceived on either the Jan or June Regents or both

Projected Range Met/ Not Met - Y or N indicating whether or not the projected range was met

